UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 19-178 VC
Plaintiff,	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACTOR
Umanuel Borisov Defendant.	MAY 02 2019 SUSAN Y. SOONG NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
that the ends of justice served by the continu	ecord on // 2, 2, 2019, the Court extendings count of 2, 2012 to May 14, 2019 and finds lance outweigh the best interest of the public and the 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
of defendants, the nature of the prosect fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	t, due to [check applicable reasons] the number cution, or the existence of novel questions of adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel, taking into account the exercising 161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain ise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel, given counsel's other scheduled cas due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(F	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of se commitments, taking into account the exercise of 3)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable ing into account the exercise of due diligence. See
prompt disposition of criminal cases, the couthe first paragraph and — based on the particextending the time limits for a preliminary h	and taking into account the public interest in the curt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in es' showing of good cause — finds good cause for hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on Crim. P. 5-1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 5-2-19	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Atterney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney